RE:

(1) May a Utility Inspector with the Public Service Commission work for a city-owned water treatment plant without violating the outside employment provisions of the Executive Branch Code of Ethics?

(2) May an employee of the Public Service Commission serve on the board of an Association of members regulated by the Public Service Commission?

DECISION:

(1) Yes, if the appointing authority approves of the outside employment and conflicts of interest are mitigated.

(2) Yes, if conflicts of interest are mitigated.

This opinion is issued in response to your August 21, 2019 request for an advisory opinion pursuant to KRS 11A.110(1) from the Executive Branch Ethics Commission (the "Commission"). The Commission reviewed your request at the September 23, 2019 meeting and issued the following advisory opinion.

On behalf of the Public Service Commission (PSC) you have requested that the Commission assist the PSC in reviewing the outside employment request of a Utility Inspector II from the PSC’s Division of Inspections. You state the relevant facts as follows:

The Utility Inspector would like to work one day per week for his former employer, the City of Manchester, for its water treatment plant. You indicate that the City of Manchester’s water treatment plant is not subject to PSC regulation; however, the city is required to file a tariff with the PSC for approval as a wholesale water provider to the North Manchester Water Association (NMWA), an entity under PSC regulation. The Utility Inspector also currently serves on the board of the Kentucky Water and Wastewater Operators Association (KWWOA,)
as the Eastern Chapter representative, and would like to remain on the board until his term expires in the fall of 2020. This position is not paid and is considered to be volunteer.

The Utility Inspector in question is assigned to the PSC’s Division of Inspections. His duties include inspection of water and sewer utilities for regulatory compliance, preparation of reports of inspections, and maintenance of inspection files. The Utility Inspector also conducts investigations of accidents and complaints involving the service of water utilities and testifies before the PSC and in court as needed. In the proposed outside employment, the Utility Inspector would work part-time in a non-managerial role as an operator of the water treatment plant and will not be involved in setting rates for water provided to the NMWA.

While the PSC does not regulate the City of Manchester’s water treatment plant, it is regulated by the Energy and Environment Cabinet (EEC), to which the PSC is attached for administrative purposes only.

As for the Utility Inspector’s role on the KWWOA Board, you indicate that the PSC would consider the employee’s position on the board as an asset to the PSC. While the role may involve interacting with PSC-regulated entities, the PSC management does not believe it would constitute a conflict of interest with the employee’s job duties. Nevertheless, you indicate that a parameter the PSC will set for the employee is to require him to recuse from any KWWOA Board matters involving a utility subject to the PSC’s regulation. Nevertheless, since the position on the KWWOA Board is not paid, then the outside employment provisions contained in Executive Branch Code of Ethics do not apply.

You assert that the PSC management believes that no conflict of interest exists because the PSC does not regulate the outside employer, nor do the Utility Inspector’s duties have any impact on the water treatment facility he would be operating. The outside employment would be conducted after official hours and would not conflict with his duties for the PSC. Further, the PSC is independent of the state agency that regulates the water treatment plant. Finally, the Utility Inspector will recuse if a regulated entity comes before the KWWOA Board.

The outside employment provisions of the Executive Branch Code of Ethics are contained in KRS 11A.040(10) and 9 KAR 1:050. KRS 11A.040(10) was revised in the year 2000 to change the authority for enforcing the outside employment provisions from the Executive Branch Ethics Commission to the Appointing Authority. The provisions on outside employment state, in pertinent part, the following:

KRS 11A.040(10) provides:

(10) Without the approval of his appointing authority, a public servant shall not accept outside employment from any person or business that does business with or is regulated by the state agency for which the public servant works or which
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The supervises, unless the outside employer’s relationship with the state agency is limited to the receipt of entitlement funds.

(a) The **appointing authority** shall review administrative regulations established under KRS Chapter 11A when deciding whether to approve outside employment for a public servant.

(b) The **appointing authority** shall not approve outside employment for a public servant if the public servant is involved in decision-making or recommendations concerning the person or business from which the public servant seeks outside employment or compensation.

(c) The **appointing authority**, if applicable, shall file quarterly with the Executive Branch Ethics Commission a list of all employees who have been approved for outside employment along with the name of the outside employer of each.

(emphasis added). KRS 11A.010(16) defines appointing authority as “the agency head or any person whom he or she has authorized by law to act on behalf of the agency with respect to employee appointments.” As such, the Appointing Authority for the Utility Inspector is the PSC.

Pursuant to 9 KAR 1:050, the Utility Inspector requesting permission to engage in outside employment must submit a request for approval by the appointing authority of the PSC. This request is then reviewed by the PSC for any conflicts of interest inherent in the proposed outside employment and whether it will materially interfere with the public servant’s ability to do his or her duties for the Commonwealth. The appointing authority must certify that the public servant is not involved in the agency’s decisions concerning the outside employer and that the off-duty employment will not create a real or perceived conflict of interest which would damage public confidence in government.

The Ethics Code addresses conflicts of interest by prohibiting certain conduct on the part of public servants in KRS 11A.020(1) and (3), which states as follows:

(1) No public servant, by himself or through others, shall knowingly:
   
   (a) Use or attempt to use his influence in any matter which involves a substantial conflict between his personal or private interest and his duties in the public interest;

   ***

(3) When a public servant abstains from action on an official decision in which he has or may have a personal or private interest, he shall disclose that fact in writing to his superior, who shall cause the decision on these matters to be made by an impartial third party.
KRS 11A.030 provides considerations for public servants to follow when determining when to abstain from action on an official decision in which the public servant may have a conflict of interest:

In determining whether to abstain from action on an official decision because of a possible conflict of interest, a public servant should consider the following guidelines:

1. Whether a substantial threat to his independence of judgment has been created by his personal or private interest;
2. The effect of his participation on public confidence in the integrity of the executive branch;
3. Whether his participation is likely to have any significant effect on the disposition of the matter;
4. The need for his particular contribution, such as special knowledge of the subject matter, to the effective functioning of the executive branch; or
5. Whether the official decision will affect him in a manner differently from the public or will affect him as a member of a business, profession, occupation, or group to no greater extent generally than other members of such business, profession, occupation, or group. A public servant may request an advisory opinion from the Executive Branch Ethics Commission in accordance with the commission's rules of procedure.

It is ultimately up to the PSC to grant or deny permission to the public servant to engage in outside employment. The PSC may decide to limit conflicts by setting up parameters under which the Utility Inspector should operate as he engages in the outside employment while continuing with his official duties for the PSC. However, the PSC may decide that it may be too difficult to minimize such conflicts.

Since KRS 11A.040(10) was amended in 2000, the Commission has a long standing practice of supporting the decisions of the appointing authority who has the ultimate authority to decide whether or not an employee may engage in outside employment. In Advisory Opinion 10-07, the Commission stated:

Please be advised that nothing in the Executive Branch Code of Ethics prohibits CHFS from implementing policies regarding outside or self-employment that may be more restrictive than the Executive Branch Code of Ethics or that might require all employees with outside or self-employment to obtain approval from their agencies for such employment. The Commission is aware that CHFS has such a policy, and cautions you that even though it does not believe your proposed work for the Third Party Certification firm presents a conflict of interest, your agency has the authority to continue to deny your request.
The Executive Branch Ethics Commission has no authority under KRS 11A.040(10) to undermine the decision of the appointing authority of the PSC in the present matter.

The appointing authority of the PSC has the final decision-making authority to determine whether this Utility Inspector may engage in outside employment while also mitigating conflicts of interest for the agency. The Commission has reviewed your proposal to limit conflicts of interest for this Utility Inspector in his outside employment for the City of Manchester water plant and during his remaining service on the Board of the KWWOA. The Commission agrees with your proposed measures. Furthermore, the Commission also recommends that the PSC ensure that the inspector avoids engaging in any conduct that would become a conflict of interest with his state employment. This will require him to recuse in any matters that may arise before the PSC or as part of his regular duties that may involve his outside employer.